

FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32
July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number: 22-1258, -1307 (consolidated)

Short Case Caption: Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.

Party Name(s)	Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
Name of Arguing Counsel	John C. O'Quinn
Dates Unavailable List up to ten dates of unavailability within the specific sessions identified by the court in the Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts in this case. Attach a statement showing good cause for each listed date. Dates listed without a supporting statement will not be considered in scheduling argument. <i>See</i> Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.	
Potential Other Conflicts Please list other pending cases before this court in which above counsel intends to argue (regardless of case status).	22-1728 AutoStore Technology AS v. ITC; 22-1465 Arbor Global Strategies, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.

Date: 01/13/2023

Signature: /s/ John C. O'Quinn

Name: John C. O'Quinn